

CUPERTINO, CA 95014

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/306,688 05/		/06/1999	OLIVER T. BAYLEY	INT1P027	3807
21912	7590	03/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	•	AMES LLP		BROWN, V	VERNAL U
	OOTHILL	BLVD #200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2635

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/306,688	BAYLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vernal U Brown	2635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,6-9 and 20-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6-9,20-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on January 28, 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument on page 5, the reference of Armstrong is relied upon for teaching a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response and the first and second active state is controlled by a sensor switch 13 (col. 2 lines 45-54). The reference of Armstrong is not relied upon for teaching irreversibly changing the state of the transponder as argued by the applicant. The reference of Santin et al. is relied upon for teaching irreversibly changing the state of a transponder (col. 5 lines 13-20) and the state is changed by an external stimulus from a draw string (col. 5 lines 44-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2635

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-9, 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Want et al U.S Patent 6008727 in view of Armstrong U.S Patent 5461385 in view of Werb U.S Patent 6843427 and further in view of Santin et al. U.S Patent 5313848.

Regarding claim 1, Want et al teaches an interactive radio frequency tag comprising a passive radio frequency transponder (col. 2 line 30), including an antenna (col. 2 line 34), an interface for receiving external stimulus and integrated circuit (col. 3 lines 10-15) responsive to external stimulus. Want et al. is however silent on teaching one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response in response to an external stimulus that includes detecting a motion. Armstrong in an art related RF/ID Transponder System Employing Multiple Transponders And A Sensor invention teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response (col. 2 lines 45-54) but is also silent on teaching providing a response in response to detecting a motion and the state of the transponder is irreversibly changed. Werb in an art related invention in the same field of endeavor of radio frequency tag teaches a radio frequency tag having motion detector and changing the response state in term of the frequency of transmission based on the detection of motion (col. 15 lines 54-56) and is also silent on teaching the state of the transponder is irreversibly changed. Santin et al. in an art related electronic monitor device teaches irreversibly

Art Unit: 2635

change the state of a transponder (col. 5 lines 13-20) and the state is changed by an external stimulus from a draw string (col. 5 lines 44-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response which includes detecting a motion in Want et al. as evidenced by Armstrong in view of Werb in view of Santin et al. because Want et al. suggests a transponder with integrated circuit and responsive to external stimulus and Armstrong teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response in order to provide different information based on the external stimulus and Werb teaches a radio frequency tag having motion detector and changing the response state in term of the frequency of transmission based on the detection of motion. The use of an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of a transponder is further evidenced by Santin et al.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Want et al teaches an interactive radio frequency tag apparatus comprising of an output device in the form of a light emitting diode which generates a visible signal in (col. 17 lines 8).

Regarding claim 8, Want et al. teaches a radio frequency tag apparatus giving audio or visual indication (col. 12 line 2-3).

Application/Control Number: 09/306,688

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claim 9, Want et al teaches that the output device generates a tactile signal (col. 2 line 54).

Regarding claim 20, Want et al. teaches the use of various environmental sensors including temperature sensors (col. 3 lines 10-17).

Regarding claim 21, Want et al teaches a radio frequency tag apparatus with an output device of a light emitting diode or an audio alert signal output (col. 12 lines 3-4). Speakers are typically used to output an audio alert signal.

Regarding claim 22, Want et al teaches an interactive radio frequency tag comprising a passive radio frequency transponder (col. 2 line 30), including an antenna (col. 2 line 34), an interface for receiving external stimulus and integrated circuit (col. 3 lines 10-15) responsive to external stimulus. Want et al. is however silent on teaching one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response that includes detecting a motion. Armstrong in an art related RF/ID Transponder System Employing Multiple Transponders And A Sensor invention teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response (col. 2 lines 45-54). Armstrong further teaches an external stimulus (pressure) to the pressure sensor interface for changing the state of the transponder (col. 2 lines 60-65) but is also silent on teaching providing a response in response to detecting a motion and irreversibly change the state of the transponder from a first

Art Unit: 2635

state to a second state. Werb in an art related invention in the same field of endeavor of radio frequency tag teaches a radio frequency tag having motion detector and changing the response state in term of the frequency of transmission based on the detection of motion (col. 15 lines 54-56) but is also silent on teaching irreversibly changing the state of the transponder from a first state to a second state. Santin et al. in an art related electronic monitor device teaches irreversibly change the state of a transponder (col. 5 lines 13-20) and the state is changed by an external stimulus from a draw string (col. 5 lines 44-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response which includes detecting a motion in Want et al. as evidenced by Armstrong in view of Werb because Want et al. suggests a transponder with integrated circuit and responsive to external stimulus and Armstrong teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response in order to provide different information based on the external stimulus and Werb teaches a radio frequency tag having motion detector and changing the response state in term of the frequency of transmission based on the detection of motion. The use of an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of a transponder is further evidenced by Santin et al.

Regarding claim 23 and 24, Want et al is silent on teaching generating a signal to indicate that the state of the radio frequency tag has change. Want et al however teaches using a flashing LED to indicate the reading state of a radio frequency tag (col. 12 line 3). One skill in the art recognizes that a flashing LED provides a visible signal as to the state of the RF tag.

Regarding claim 25, Want et al teaches an audible alert to provide indication of the state of the RF tag.

Regarding claim 26, Want et al teaches a tactile output based on internal state of the RF tag (col. 8. lines 40-41).

Regarding claim 27, Want et al teaches an interface that includes a button (col. 5 line 23). Regarding claim 28, Want et al teaches a RF tag with an optionally attached sensor (560).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/306,688

Art Unit: 2635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vernal Brown

March 16, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY DENTER 2600

Mutal Hardit

Page 8